

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/801,825	03/09/2001	Yasuhiko Kojima	P 276646	1431	
909	7590 05/02/2003				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V			CARRILLO, BI	CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Applicant(s)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/801,825	KOJIMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Sharidan Carrillo	1746			
	- Th MAILING DATE of this communication a	pp ars on the cov r sh t with t	th c rrespond nc address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>0 February 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
-	on of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		olication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme	nt(s)					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
<u></u>	T 1					

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for carboxylic acid, does not reasonably provide enablement for any cleaning gas containing a substance. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims embrace an invention which contains any known cleaning gas, which Could/can be selected from literally thousands. It does not appear to be feasible that any cleaning gas would function in the present invention. Further, for one skilled in the art to reproduce the present invention (which must be possible, if the specification is adequate), there would clearly be undue experimentation to do so in an attempt to figure out which cleaning gases work and which ones do not.

3. Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The step of vaporizing the cleaning agent to form a cleaning gas is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim 1 fails to include the critical step of vaporizing the cleaning agent, which is

Art Unit: 1746

in a liquid state to form a cleaning gas. It should be noted that TFA exists in a liquid, not gaseous state. Therefore, a step of vaporizing the cleaning agent to form a cleaning gas is required and essential to the practice of the instant invention.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 are indefinite because it is unclear the structural relationship between the treatment equipment, the treatment chamber, and the substrate. It is unclear whether the substrate is present in the chamber as the chamber is treated with the cleaning gas. It is also unclear whether metal is being removed from the treatment chamber. Claim 9 is further indefinite because it is a duplicative of claim 1.

Claims 2 and 3 are indefinite because it is unclear what one of ordinary skill in the art would consider as a derivative of carboxylic acid. Claim 3 is indefinite because of the term "capable of". Claim 4 is indefinite because TFA occurs in a liquid state and applicant is claiming a cleaning gas. Claim 5 is indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by the film formation equipment. Claim 7 is indefinite because it is unclear what one of ordinary skill in the art would consider as promoting complexing of the prescribed metal.

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5-7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koide et al. (5993679).

In reference to claims 1 and 9, Koide et al. teach a method of cleaning metallic films within a thin film deposition apparatus by treating with a cleaning gas (hexafluoroacetylacetone) to complex the copper (col. 6, lines 30-65, col. 5, lines 25-40) and exhausting the gas via the exhaust system 14 (col. 7, lines 35-40). In reference to claim 5, refer to the abstract. In reference to claim 6, refer to col. 6, lines 35-65. In view of the indefiniteness of claim 7, refer to col. 5, lines 55-65. In reference to claim 10, refer to col. 6, lines 4-20.

8. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caputo et al. (5413758).

In reference to claims 1 and 9, Caputo et al. teach cleaning the interior of a Sterilization chamber with a gaseous antimicrobial agent comprising a peracid and exhausting the cleaning gas from the chamber (col. 3, lines 15-20, lines 40-45, col. 4, lines 20-25, col. 6, lines 35-45, col. 9, lines 55-60, col. 11, lines 65-68). The limitations of directly complexing the prescribed metal is inherently met since Caputo et al. is performing the same method steps as that of the instant invention. In reference to

Art Unit: 1746

claims 2-4, refer to col. 7, lines 7-21. In reference to claim 5 and in view of the indefiniteness, the limitations are met by the teachings of Caputo et al. In reference to claims 7-8, refer to col. 6, lines 45-55.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grethe et al. teach trifluoroacetic acid as a peracid. Aoki teaches cleaning a substrate with a complexing agent. Fritsch et al. teach cleaning a CVD chamber with a diketone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 703-308-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7719 for regular communications and 703-308-7719 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 Page 6

bsc April 30, 2003

> SHARIDAN CARRILLO PRIMARY EXAMINER